

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/839,872	04/20/2001	Yasuaki Yamagishi	450100-03167	4338	
20999	7590 06/23/2005		EXAMINER		
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			KIM, JUNG W		
745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2132		

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/839,872	YAMAGISHI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jung W. Kim	2132	

·	Jung W. Kim	2132	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 June 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completion of time periods: 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	iffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(and the corresponding amount of the fee. Stutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS.	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal d	of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS The prepared emendment(s) filed offer a final rejection.	but prior to the data of filing a brid	f will not be entered	haaayaa
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beto.	nsideration and/or search (see NC w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially h	educing of simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	` ''		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	: (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	· ———		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	nent canceling
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 		• • • • • •	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•	, ,	· ·
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ince because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
13. Other:	Elbert		
	GILBERT	D BARRON JA. ATENT EXAMINER	
	TECHNOLOGY		

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the proposed amendment to the independent claims introduce the limitation wherein said lapse information includes a method of obtaining said latest public key certificate information. This new limitation raises new issues that would require further search and consideration.

7 6/21/5